

Annual Return on the Scottish Social Housing Charter

Consultation questions

We welcome your general feedback on our proposals as well as answers to the specific questions we have raised. You can read our consultation paper on our website at www.housingregulator.gov.scot

Please do not feel you have to answer every question unless you wish to do so.

Send your completed questionnaire to us by Friday 8 November 2024.

By email @: <u>consultations@shr.gov.scot</u>

Or post to: Scottish Housing Regulator 5th Floor, 220 High Street Glasgow G4 0QW

Name/organisation name

Cathcart & District Housing Association Ltd

Address

| 3-5 Rhannan Road | | |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Cathcart | | |
| Glasgow | | |
| Postcode G44 3AZ | Phone 01416332779 | Email info@cathcartha.co.uk |

How you would like your response to be handled

To help make this a transparent process we intend to publish on our website the responses we receive, as we receive them. Please let us know how you would like us to handle your response. If you are responding as an individual, we will not publish your contact details.

Are you happy for your response to be published on our website?

Yes x No

If you are responding as an individual:

| Please tell us how you would like your response to be published. | Pick 1 |
|--|--------|
| Publish my full response, including my name | |
| Please publish my response, but not my name | |



1. There are some indicators which we do not routinely use in our regulatory assessment of social landlords' performance. As part of the consultation we are proposing to stop collecting the following indicators **14**, **20**, **23**, **24**, **C3 and C4**.

Do you agree with our proposals to remove these indicators?

Makes sense, although I would have thought having information on abandonments might prove useful in relation to sustainment of tenancies in social housing

2. Following feedback from stakeholders we propose to amend the following indicators **10**, **15 and C2**.

Do you agree with our proposals to amend these indicators?

| I'm not sure I follow the | logic on indicator | 10 that | seems a | a similar | question | reworded. |
|---------------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| agree with 15 and C2 | - | | | | | |

3. We also propose to introduce an additional indicator to monitor long term voids.

Do you agree that we should collect an additional indicator in relation to long term voids?

Absolutely.

4. We propose to collect two new indicators in relation to tenant and resident safety. Do you agree with the additional indicators we propose to collect in relation electrical safety and fire detection?

Yes resident safety topical and important



5. Do you agree with our proposed approach to collect landlords' performance in relation to compliance with tenant and resident safety duties as part of the Annual Assurance Statements?

Yes I agree

6. Issues of damp and mould continue to be an important area of concern for tenants. We therefore propose three new indicators in relation to damp and mould. Do you agree with our proposals to introduce these indicators?

Don't have an issue we are collecting this data and I suspect Homemaster will develop this for their clients. My only question would be the term resolved. Landlord has resolved or the tenant believes the matter is resolved. I think of many lifestyle cases and the tenant did not amend their behaviours. We would need to agree that we have agreed it is resolved but then they will go to a 'law centre' and we re-open the case but only to close again, or will that be classed as a complaint?

7. Do you agree with the proposal to collect the "Average length of time taken to resolve cases of damp and/or mould" or would the "median" be more appropriate to measure the time to resolve cases of damp and/or mould?

I don't see the need to measure but should be easy to do.

8. Damp and mould is a complex area for landlords. Are the new indicators we propose on damp and mould clearly defined?

We don't feel the proposals are too complex

Thank you for taking the time to give us your feedback